UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/590,715	08/25/2006	Kazuhisa Yamamoto	2006_1416A	2569
	7590 02/22/201 , LIND & PONACK I	EXAMINER		
1030 15th Street, N.W. Suite 400 East Washington, DC 20005-1503			HOWARD, RYAN D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2878	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/22/2011	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ddalecki@wenderoth.com eoa@wenderoth.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/590,715	YAMAMOTO ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
RYAN HOWARD	2878

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the	e cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 28 January 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATI	ON IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with ap for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. periods:	day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the opeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
 a)	on, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In K MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened street forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three remay reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee atutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension there Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the tin AMENDMENTS 	of (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	and/or search (see NOTE below);
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal; and/or	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a correspond NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See att 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if s non-allowable claim(s). 	submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>16, 18, 19, 21, and 22</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was remarked.	Il rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the sta REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	atus of the claims after entry is below or attached.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NO Regarding Applicant's arguments that Pate does not teach a came compensation system (page 6 paragraph 1) and that there is no make Examiner treated these arguments in the Final Rejection mailed 10	ra shake compensation system but rather teaches a color otivation to combine the references (page 6 paragraph 2),
Regarding Applicant's arguments that the combination of Shimada, Kiyoak must be recognized that any judgment on obviousness is in a sens reasoning. But so long as it takes into account only knowledge wh invention was made, and does not include knowledge gleaned only proper. See In re McLaughlin, 443 F.2d 1392, 170 USPQ 209 (CC 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/0 13. Other:	e necessarily a reconstruction based upon hindsight ich was within the level of ordinary skill at the time the claimed from the applicant's disclosure, such a reconstruction is EPA 1971)
	Villiam C. Dowling/ imary Examiner, Art Unit 2878

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.

Part of Paper No. 20110205